# Exhibit 1 Part 1

Cas	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 2 of 100A-1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
3	<b>-</b>
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CRIMINAL ACTION
5	Plaintiff, :
6	v. :
7	NELSON LORA-PENA,
8	Defendant. : NO. 05-47 (KAJ)
9	<del></del> -
10	Wilmington, Delaware
11	Thursday, September 15, 2005 at 9:30 a.m. JURY TRIAL - VOLUME A
12	, <del></del>
13	BEFORE: HONORABLE KENT A. JORDAN, U.S.D.C.J., and a jury
14	<del></del>
15	APPEARANCES:
16	THE EMILLINGES.
17	APRIL M. BYRD, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney
18	Counsel for Government
19	Counsel for Government
20	LAW OFFICES OF CHARLES PERUTO, JR. BY: CHARLES PERUTO, JR., ESQ., and.
21	RAYMOND C. DRISCOLL, ESQ., and.  (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania)
22	Counsel for Defendant
23	Counser for Defendant
24	
25	Brian P. Gaffigan Registered Merit Reporter

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 000 -

2	

### PROCEEDINGS

3 (REPORTER'S NOTE: The following jury trial was 4 held in open court, beginning at 9:30 a.m.)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning. We're going to be having the jury venire here in a moment. I want to put on the record that the defendant has chosen to appear in court this morning in a prison issue jumpsuit. That is evidently his choice, although civilian nonissue prison clothing was available.

Is there anything else that either side wants to put on the record in that regard? The Government?

MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

MR. PERUTO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there any other matter? I left on the desk for each of you a copy of the voir dire that I intend to use and the preliminary jury instructions so hopefully there is not an issue with either of those things. Ms. Byrd?

MS. BYRD: No issue from the Government.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

MR. PERUTO: None, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let me just note, I know you have appeared in

20

21

22

23

24

15.

this courthouse before, Mr. Peruto, but I haven't had the pleasure of having a trial with you previously. The way we will conduct the voir dire and the jury selection is akin to how I believe the other judges do it. But let me just tell you real quickly.

I will ask the question generally to everybody.

Then I will ask people if they have a "yes" answer to any of those questions. We'll bring those people one at a time.

I'll give you folks a chance to ask any questions within bounds of reason. We'll seat 12 and two alternates.

method. That is, we'll select at random a sufficient number of jurors to fill not only the box but the first couple of rows here and then all those names will be on the clipboard. The courtroom deputy will take that clipboard back and forth between counsel table and you will have a chance to make your strikes and to see the other side strikes.

When everybody has exercised all the strikes they want to exercise, if there is still more than 14, those people beyond the number of 14 will be excused and the first 12 will be the jurors and the numbers 13 and 14 will serve as the alternates.

Nobody needs to say "content" or anything like that. I just need to get to the point where in silence you guys make your strikes and then the deputy will let me know

1 we're done, and then we'll go ahead and excuse those that will be excused and we'll have our jury. 2 3 Okay. Are there any questions about that, sir? MR. PERUTO: No, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Fine. (Pause.) 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lora-Pena, we're going to have you cooperate here for a second and we'll take those 8 leg irons off during the trial; all right? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: (Nodding yes.) 11 (Leg irons taken off defendant.) 12 THE COURT: All right. I thought we had the arrival of the venire imminent but apparently not, so we'll 13 go ahead and check and see what the hold-up is. I'll go 14 15 ahead and leave the bench. And when we get the venire in 16 the room, I'll come back in and we'll reconvene. We're in 17 recess. 18 (Brief recess taken; prospective jurors enter 19 the courtroom.) 20 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 21 Please be seated. 22 I'll introduce myself. My name is Kent Jordan. 23 I'm the judicial officer that has been selected to preside 24 at this trial. And as you know by now, you're the folks 25 who have been selected to come here and participate in the

process of picking a jury and we appreciate your being here.

Here is how we'll proceed. I'm going to ask you a series of questions. And you don't need to respond out loud or raise a hand or anything. In fact, I wish you wouldn't. I just want you to keep track in your own minds of whether you have a "yes" answer to any of the questions that I'm going to ask you; okay?

When I've gone through this series of questions -- and there are not that many of them. I think we have a total of nine questions here, about. At that point, I'll say, "did any of you have a 'yes' to one or more of my questions?" At that point, just raise your hand and then we'll get a sense of how many folks we need to speak to further.

After we've done that, I'll come over here to this part of the courtroom that we call side bar and the Assistant United States Attorney who is here on behalf of the Government, Ms. Byrd, and Mr. Peruto, the defense attorney, and perhaps his colleague who is here with him will come to side bar with me and we'll speak to you one at a time, those of you who had a "yes" to one or more of my questions.

So that process is going to require a little bit of patience on your part because while we're speaking to folks one at a time, the rest of you are going to be back

there sitting quietly, for which we're grateful, although we recognize that is not the most interesting thing to be doing. So we'll try to be as efficient as we can, but we'll ask you to indulge us because the defendant here,

Mr. Lora-Pena has the absolute right to a fair and impartial jury, and this helps us make sure we get such a jury, okay?

When all that is done, we've asked everybody questions, the couple of gentlemen here in the front with me, my courtroom deputy clerks are going to select at random a series of numbers that are associated with your names on a list. And we'll have those folks who are selected at random seated in the jury box and across the front row here of the benches back there behind the bar. And we'll pass a clipboard back and forth between counsel table and nobody is really going to be saying anything. It's just going to be the lawyers working with their clients to decide who on that list they would like to see kept on the jury and who they prefer not kept on the jury.

After all that is done, we're going to excuse the folks who have been struck through the exercise of the parties' rights to challenge jurors and we'll be left with 14 people seated in this jury box, the first 12 of whom will be the jurors and the other two will be alternates in the case.

So that is how it's going to go. The whole

1 Have you ever testified in a criminal case? 2 This trial should last only one day, although 3 jury deliberations may go beyond today. Is there anything 4 about the length of the trial that would prevent you from 5 serving as a juror on this case? 6 Does any member of the panel know of any other 7 matter which you believe should be called to the Court's 8 attention as having some bearing upon your qualifications or 9 ability to sit as a juror, or which you think may prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict based solely 10 upon the evidence and my instructions to you on the law? 11 12 All right. Did any of you have a "yes" to one 13 or more of my questions, please raise your hand. 14 (Jurors respond by raising their hands.) 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. 16 We'll go ahead and proceed with that side bar 17 set of discussions that I described to you. 18 Counsel, I'll see you at side bar. 19 (Conference held at side bar out of presence of jury.) 20 21 THE COURT: All right. We're going to proceed 22 row by row, moving from the center aisle over toward the 23 wall; okay? So the first person on the first row who had a "yes" to one or more of my questions, would you please come 24 25 forward at this time.

```
Filed 02/13/2008 Page 10 of 100-9
                          Schantz - voir dire
 1
                  (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
 2
                 THE COURT: Hi. Good morning. I'll have you
 3
     stand right here. Thanks very much, ma'am.
 4
                 First, I need to ask you your name for our
 5
     record.
 6
                 THE JUROR: My last name is Schantz,
 7
     S-C-H-A-N-T-Z.
 8
                 THE COURT: Ms. Schantz, you had a "yes" to
 9
     one or more of my questions. Can you tell me about that,
10
     please?
11
                 THE JUROR:
                            Yes. You asked if we had a been a
     juror in a criminal case before. I have.
12
13
                 THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
14
                 THE JUROR: About 20 years ago.
15
                 THE COURT: Was that here in Delaware?
16
                 THE JUROR: That was in Superior Court, District
     of Columbia.
17
18
                 THE COURT: Do you remember what kind of charge
19
     the case involved?
20
                 THE JUROR: It was a robbery case.
21
                             All right. Do you remember what the
                 THE COURT:
22
     outcome of the case was?
23
                 THE JUROR: The defendant was convicted.
24
                 THE COURT: He was convicted?
25
                 THE JUROR: Yes, sir.
```

### 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 11 of 100<sub>4-10</sub> Case O'Neill - voir dire 1 THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything about your 2 participation in that process that you think would prejudice 3 you against the criminal defendant in this case, would 4 prevent you from being fair and impartial? 5 THE JUROR: I don't think so. 6 Was there anything else that I asked THE COURT: 7 that you had a "yes" to? 8 THE JUROR: 9 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Byrd, any follow-up 10 questions? 11 MS. BYRD: No, thank you. Good morning. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Peruto? 13 MR. PERUTO: No. Thank you. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much. 15 THE JUROR: Thank you. 16 (Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to 17 the back of the courtroom.) 18 THE COURT: Any applications from the 19 Government? 20 MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Peruto? MR. PERUTO: No, Your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: The next individual on that row who 24 had a "yes," please come forward. 25 (Potential juror approaches side bar.)

# 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 12 of 10Q<sub>-11</sub> O'Neill - voir dire 1 THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am. I'll have you stand right here, if I could, ma'am. And, first, I'll ask 2 3 you to identify yourself for the record. 4 THE JUROR: Maureen O'Neill. THE COURT: O'Neill. Ms. O'Neill. 5 6 THE JUROR: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. You had a "yes" to one or 8 more of my questions. Please tell me about that. 9 THE JUROR: Two. The one was a long time ago, 10 but my brother was arrested for draft evasion. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Does that go back to the 12 sixties? 13 THE JUROR: (Nodding yes.) 14 THE COURT: That was awhile ago. 15 THE JUROR: But it was a question so it was a 16 "yes." 17 THE COURT: Yes, you are right. Thank you very 18 much for being honest and candid about that. 19 Is there anything about your brother's 20 experience with the criminal justice system that would prejudice you for or against one side or the other in this 21 22 case? 23 THE JUROR: He is gone now. (Shaking head no.) 24 THE COURT: I'm sorry for your loss. 25 THE JUROR: That's okay.

2

THE COURT: Do you think you could be fair and impartial in deciding this case only on the evidence that is in the case if you were selected to serve?

3

THE JUROR: I don't think that would have any bearing.

6

5

THE COURT: Okay.

THE JUROR:

7

8

they're laying off a number of people in the next two weeks.

My other thing is during this time,

9

20 years. I came today because I felt that I had to, but if

It's happening now. Some of these people worked for over

this would last longer, I would appreciate being excused.

11

12

10

THE COURT: Okay. This won't last long.

13

THE JUROR: Okay.

that it's unlikely to last past tomorrow.

14

THE COURT: I think the parties agree, they

15

little bit of time tomorrow. Even if the evidence finished

believe the evidence can come in today. It might take up a

16 17

today, the deliberations of the jury might take into

18

tomorrow. So you might want to say, well, I might have to

19

come tomorrow, too, but it shouldn't last past tomorrow I

20

don't think unless something unexpected arises. Your jury

21

selection might be such that it lasts longer but I talked

22

with this with both counsel. I think it's a fair statement

23

Is that something where you could serve?

25

24

THE JUROR: I think if it doesn't last past

```
1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2
                                   Filed 02/13/2008 Page 14 of 10A-13
                           Everett - voir dire
1
      tomorrow.
                 (Nodding yes.)
2
                  THE COURT: Okay. Any follow-up questions,
 3
      Ms. Byrd?
 4
                  MS. BYRD:
                             No.
 5
                  Thank you, ma'am.
                  MR. PERUTO: Thank you.
 6
 7
                  THE JUROR: Thank you.
 8
                  (Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
 9
      the back of the courtroom.)
10
                  MR. PERUTO: Yes, I think we'll finish up.
11
                  MS. BYRD: No applications.
12
                  THE COURT: Applications?
13
                  MR. PERUTO: (Shaking head no.)
14
                  THE COURT: Okay.
15
                  (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
                  THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am. I'll have you
16
17
      stand right here, if you could please.
18
                  THE JUROR: Good morning.
19
                  THE COURT: I'll first ask you to give us your
20
      name for the record.
21
                             My name?
                  THE JUROR:
22
                  THE COURT: Yes.
                  THE JUROR: Juanita Everett.
23
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Everett, you had a "yes"
25
      to some of the questions I asked.
```

## 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 15 of 10A-14 Everett - voir dire 1 THE JUROR: The first question, a David Thomas. 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 THE JUROR: I know a David Thomas with the State 4 Police. 5 THE COURT: With the State Police? 6 THE JUROR: Uh-huh. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you remember this 8 gentleman, anything about how he looks or ... 9 THE JUROR: David Thomas? 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 THE JUROR: He is African-American and I believe 12 he is a corporal with the State Police. It has been awhile 13 since I have seen him. THE COURT: Okay. How long? 14 15 THE JUROR: How long since I have seen him? 16 THE COURT: Quite awhile? 17 THE JUROR: Maybe five years. 18 Well, you know what? THE COURT: The David Thomas who is in this case is a gentleman, an 19 20 African-American gentleman who was a State Police Officer, 21 is now the United States Marshal, so it's possible it's the 22 same Dave Thomas, although frankly that is a name that is 23 not unusual so it's possible there is another David Thomas 24 on the State Police force. Would you recognize this Dave 25 Thomas if you saw him again?

THE COURT:

Okay.

# 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 18 of 10Q<sub>-17</sub> Hurd - voir dire 1 MR. PERUTO: That is assuming that is the same 2 one, his credibility is directly involved in the case. because it's such is a short trial and there are so many 3 4 other potential jurors, I would move to excuse the juror 5 because we don't need her. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Byrd? 7 MS. BYRD: I don't strenuously object to that, 8 Your Honor. I don't think there are any grounds based on 9 the juror's answers. I think she stated quite candidly she 10 would be impartial so I don't think there are any grounds 11 based on what she said. However, I don't have any strong 12 objection to Mr. Peruto's request. 13 Well, I'm going to take it under THE COURT: 14 advisement. I'll see how we do and we'll see if it's really 15 the same Dave Thomas. Okay? 16 Next person, please come forward. 17 (Potential juror approaches side bar.) 18 THE COURT: Hi. Good morning, ma'am. 19 THE JUROR: Good morning. 20 THE COURT: Could you please tell me your name? 21 THE JUROR: Mary Lou Hurd.

22

23

24

25

Ms. Hurd. Okay. You had a "yes" to THE COURT: one or more of my questions. Could you tell me about that, please?

THE JUROR: About 10 or I think 15 years ago, I

```
Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008
                                                  Page 20 of 100-19
                           Catts - voir dire
                  MS. BYRD: I have no questions. Thank you,
1
2
      ma'am.
                  THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
3
                  MR. PERUTO: No, thank you.
 4
                  THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
5
                  THE JUROR: Thank you.
 6
 7
                  (Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
      the back of the courtroom.)
8
9
                  THE COURT: Applications?
                  MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor.
10
                  MR. PERUTO: No, sir.
11
                  THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else on that first
12
13
      row who had a "yes" to one or more of my questions?
                  Okay. Let's go to the next row back, beginning
14
15
      at the center aisle.
                  (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
16
                  THE COURT:
                              Hi.
17
                  THE JUROR: Hi.
18
                  THE COURT: Sir, if you would please just stand
19
20
      there and begin by identifying yourself for the record.
                  THE JUROR: Douglas Catts, C-A-T-T-S.
21
                  THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Catts, you had a "yes" to
22
      one or more of my questions. Can you tell me about that?
23
24
                  THE JUROR: Yes. I've been a witness in a
25
      criminal trial.
```

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 21 of 100 <sub>A-20</sub> Catts – voir dire
1	THE COURT: Okay. As to any of my other
2	questions?
3	THE JUROR: No.
4	THE COURT: When were you a witness in a
5	criminal trial, sir?
6	THE JUROR: It was back in the 80s. Maybe the
7	middle of the 80s.
8	THE COURT: Was that here in Delaware?
9	THE JUROR: Yes.
10	THE COURT: In Superior Court?
11	THE JUROR: Yes.
12	THE COURT: What kind of a case was it?
13	THE JUROR: Murder.
14	THE COURT: And were you a witness who was
15	called because you had seen something or were you involved
16	with law enforcement? What was the capacity about you being
17	a witness during the trial?
18	THE JUROR: I'm an attorney and my client had
19	been murdered. He had been killed.
20	THE COURT: Was there anything about your
21	experience as a witness in that case that would prevent
22	you from being fair and impartial in this case, if you were
23	selected to serve?
24	THE JUROR: No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Now, you mentioned that

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 22 of 100A-21 Catts - voir dire
1	you're an attorney. Is there anything about your training
2	and experience as a lawyer that you think would affect your
3	ability to be a fair and impartial and to decide this case
4	solely on the evidence and the law as I would instruct the
5	jury?
6	THE JUROR: No.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Byrd, any follow-up
8	questions?
9	MS. BYRD: Sir, what type of law do you
10	currently practice?
11	THE JUROR: Personal injury.
12	MS. BYRD: Did you do criminal law?
13	THE JUROR: Yes a little bit.
14	MS. BYRD: Defense?
15	THE JUROR: Yes.
16	MS. BYRD: Mow many years ago was that?
17	THE JUROR: More than two.
18	MS. BYRD: Thank you, sir.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Peruto, follow-up questions?
20	MR. PERUTO: (Shaking head no.)
21	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Catts.
22	(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
23	the back of the courtroom.)
24	MS. BYRD: Nothing from the Government.
25	MR. PERUTO: Nothing, sir.

# Cas 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 23 of 10A-22 Nastasi - voir dire

	ł
1	THE COURT: Okay. Next individual on that
2	second row who had a "yes" to one or more of my questions,
3	if you would please come forward.
- 4	(Potential juror approaches side bar.)
5	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, please stand right here.
6	We'll start by asking you your name for the record.
7	THE JUROR: Nicola Nastasi.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Nastasi?
. 9	THE JUROR: Nastasi.
10	THE COURT: I got it right that time?
11	THE JUROR: Yes, perfect.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Nastasi, you said "yes" to one
13	or more of to one or more of my questions. Tell me about
14	that, please.
<b>1</b> 5	THE JUROR: When I was 18, I was charged with
16	drinking under age.
17 -	THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
18	THE JUROR: About 22 years ago. It was a "yes"
19	answer to the question. I needed to tell you.
20	THE COURT: You did and you are holding your age
21	well, sir. Is there a "yes" to any of my other questions?
22	THE JUROR: No.
23	THE COURT: Is there anything about your
24	experience, that experience you had with the criminal
25	justice system that would prevent you from being fair and

```
Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2
                                    Filed 02/13/2008 Page 24 of 100<sub>A-23</sub>
                          Sneeringer - voir dire
 1
      impartial in deciding this case, if you were selected to
 2
      serve?
 3
                   THE JUROR:
                              No.
 4
                   THE COURT: Ms. Byrd?
 5
                   MS. BYRD: I have nothing. Thank you, sir.
                   THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
 6
 7
                   MR. PERUTO: No.
 8
                   THE COURT: All right. Thank you sir.
 9
                   (Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
10
      the back of the courtroom.)
11
                  MS. BYRD: No application.
12
                   THE COURT: No application.
13
                  MR. PERUTO: I'm glad I'm not on trial.
14
                  THE COURT: I'll take that as a "no"
15
      application. Good. We'll move on.
16
                 (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
17
                   THE COURT: Good morning, sir. I'll have you
      stand right here and start by asking you to give your name
18
      for our record.
19
20
                  THE JUROR: David Sneeringer.
21
                  THE COURT:
                              How are you saying that, sir?
22
                  THE JUROR: David Sneeringer.
23
      S-N-E-E-R-I-N-G-E-R.
24
                  THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sneeringer.
                                                       You said
      "yes" to one or more of my questions. Please tell me about
25
```

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 25 of 100 <sub>A-24</sub> Sneeringer - voir dire
1	that.
2	THE JUROR: Sitting on a criminal trial. It's
3	been at least 10 years.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Delaware?
5	THE JUROR: Yes. State.
6	THE COURT: Superior Court?
7	THE JUROR: Correct.
8	THE COURT: Do you remember what kind of case it
9	was?
1.0	THE JUROR: It was a drug possession case.
11	THE COURT: How long did it last?
12	THE JUROR: It lasted approximately a day. No
13	more than a day.
14	THE COURT: Do you remember what the outcome
15	was?
16	THE JUROR: The defendant was found guilty.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything about that
18	experience you had that would bias you one way or another
19	in this case, for either side or against either side, do you
20	think?
21	THE JUROR: No.
22	THE COURT: So do you think you could be fair
23	and impartial and decide this case with an open mind, if you
24	were selected to serve?
25	THE JUROR: Yes.

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 26 of 100A-25  Hamby - voir dire
1	THE COURT: Is there anything else to say?
2	THE JUROR: No.
3	THE COURT: Ms. Byrd, any questions?
4	MS. BYRD: Nothing, sir. Thank you.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
6	MR. PERUTO: No, thank you.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
8	(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
9	the back of the courtroom.)
10	MS. BYRD: No application.
11	MR. PERUTO: No application.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Next individual on that
13	second row, if any, who had a "yes" to one or more of my
14	questions.
15	All right. Let's move back to the third row
16	then, beginning closest to the aisle, the first person on
17	that row who had a "yes" to one or more of my questions,
18	please come forward.
19	(Potential juror approaches side bar.)
20	THE COURT: Hi. I'll have you stand right here.
21	Ma'am, could you give me your name for the our record,
22	please.
23	THE JUROR: Ellen Hamby.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hamby, you had an
25	affirmative response to something I asked. Would you tell

(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to

```
Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2
                                    Filed 02/13/2008 Page 28 of 10A-27
                            Stone - voir dire
 1
      the back of the courtroom.)
 2
                  THE COURT: Okay. Applications?
 3
                  MS. BYRD: I won't object to Mr. Peruto's
 4
      application.
 5
                  MR. PERUTO: And I do have an application.
 6
                  THE COURT: Okay. For cause?
 7
                  MR. PERUTO: Yes.
 8
                  THE COURT: And I agree. She was candid and I
      think she could not be impartial. So she is struck for
 9
10
      cause.
11
                  Sir, if you would come forward, please.
                  (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
12
13
                  THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
14
                  THE JUROR: Good morning.
15
                  THE COURT: I need to ask by start asking your
16
      name.
                  THE JUROR: Robert Stone, S-T-O-N-E.
17
18
                  THE COURT:
                              You had a "yes." Please tell me
19
      about that.
20
                  THE JUROR: I was arrested and charged and pled
21
      guilty to DWI.
22
                  THE COURT: How long ago was that, sir?
23
                  THE JUROR: It's been '87. So 18 years ago.
                  THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else I
24
25
      asked that you had a "yes" to?
```

THE JUROR: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Now, did your experience with the criminal justice system leave you with feelings or a bias that you think would affect your ability to be impartial in this case or could you decide the case with a fair and open mind?

THE JUROR: I think I could decide the case. It was kind of a joke. I got in on Thursday, got caught two weeks later and it was done with. So it was pretty simple.

THE COURT: So it was minor?

THE JUROR: Well, it wasn't minor but it was.

THE COURT: Thank you. It was a minor process?

THE JUROR: It was a small town. I just came in, showed up and that was done with.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I think I hear you telling me is that wouldn't affect your ability to be fair to both sides in this case?

THE JUROR: I don't think so.

THE COURT: And you could decide this case, if you were selected to serve, solely on the evidence and the law as instructed; is that correct?

THE JUROR: Yes.

THE COURT: Ms. Byrd, do you have any questions?

MS. BYRD: No. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 31 of 10 <b>A</b> -30  Boxmeyer - voir dire
1	convincing for me to not for me to let this guy go,
2	basically.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	THE JUROR: I mean I can give it a shot, if you
5	would like, but I would not want me on this jury.
6	THE COURT: Well, that's a powerful statement.
7	I'm sorry to hear that.
8	THE JUROR: I'm trying to be honest with you
9	here.
10	THE COURT: Honesty is
11	THE JUROR: Yes, I've seen the damage that can
12	occur.
13	THE COURT: Well, where does your son work?
14	THE JUROR: He is with HUD. He works out of
15	Baltimore.
16	THE COURT: What does he do?
17	THE JUROR: Basically, kicks down doors at 3:00
18	o'clock in the morning.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions?
20	MS. BYRD: No. Thank you, sir.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
22	MR. PERUTO: No.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
24	THE JUROR: Thank you.
25	(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 32 of 10例 <sup>-31</sup> Torbert - voir dire
1	the back of the courtroom.)
2	MS. BYRD: Move for cause.
3	MR. PERUTO: No objection.
. 4	THE COURT: He is struck.
5	Okay. Next individual on that row, if any, who
6	had a "yes" to one or more of my questions, please come
7.	forward.
8	All right. Moving back to the next row.
9	(Potential juror approaches side bar.)
10	THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am. Stand right
11	here. Thanks.
12	You had a "yes" to one or more of my questions I
13	asked?
14	THE JUROR: Yes.
15	THE COURT: I've got to start by asking you to
16	identify yourself for the record.
17	THE JUROR: My name is Janine Torbert.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Torbert, tell me about
19	the question.
20	THE JUROR: It's the time frame.
21	THE COURT: Okay. What is happening over the
22	next day or two?
23	THE JUROR: My daughter-in-law is expecting any
24	moment, so I don't know.
25	THE COURT: She is due imminently?

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 33 of 100A-32 Williams - voir dire
1	THE JUROR: Yes, she is. And her mother doesn't
2	live here.
3	THE COURT: You're sort of the mom on the scene?
4	THE JUROR: Yes, exactly.
. 5	THE COURT: That is a big and happy event.
6	Congratulations.
7	THE JUROR: Thank you. It's the first grand
8	child.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Any questions, Ms. Byrd?
10	MS. BYRD: No. Thank you, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Peruto?
12	MR. PERUTO: No.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
14	THE JUROR: Thank you.
15	(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to
16	the back of the courtroom.)
17	THE COURT: Applications?
18	MS. BYRD: Move for cause.
19	MR. PERUTO: No objection.
20	THE COURT: I agree. She is struck.
21	(Potential juror approaches side bar.)
22	THE COURT: Ma'am, thanks so much. You have to
23	start by stating your name for the record.
24	THE JUROR: Susan Williams.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Williams, tell me about your

(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to

```
1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2
                                    Filed 02/13/2008 Page 35 of 10A-34
Case
                            Wright - voir dire
 1
      the back of the courtroom.)
 2
                  THE COURT: Applications?
 3
                  MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor.
 4
                  MR. PERUTO: No.
 5
                  THE COURT: All right. The next individual in
 6
      the last row, please.
 7
                  (Potential juror approaches side bar.)
                  THE COURT: Good morning, ma'am. I'll have you
 8
      stand right here, if we could.
 9
10
                  THE JUROR: Hi.
11
                  THE COURT: And we'll start by you stating your
12
      name.
13
                  THE JUROR: Claire Wright.
                  THE COURT: All right. Ms. Wright, you had a
14
15
      "yes" to something I asked?
16
                  THE JUROR: Yes. I had served on a jury on a
      criminal case.
17
18
                  THE COURT:
                              Okay.
19
                  THE JUROR: Quite a few years ago.
20
      about 15 years maybe.
21
                  THE COURT: Was it here in Delaware?
                  THE JUROR: Yes. Uh-huh. In the old
22
23
      courthouse.
24
                  THE COURT: Superior Court?
25
                  THE JUROR: No, Circuit -- or Petit Jury.
```

Cas	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 36 of 100-35 Wright - voir dire
1	THE COURT: It was a petit jury?
2	THE JUROR: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Do you remember the kind of case?
4	THE JUROR: Yes, it was about drugs and some
5	mother had put drugs in the baby crib or something.
6	THE COURT: That is a sad case. Do you remember
7	how that case came out?
8	THE JUROR: I think she was guilty. There were
9	a couple people involved in it, but I don't remember exactly
10	all what happened or you know.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything about your
12	experience on that jury that would prevent you from being
13	fair and impartial if you were selected to serve on this
14	jury?
15	THE JUROR: Not that I can think of.
16	THE COURT: Did you have a "yes" to any of my
17	other questions?
18	THE JUROR: No, just that one question.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Byrd, any questions?
20	MS. BYRD: Nothing.
21	Thank you, ma'am.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
23	MR. PERUTO: No.
24	THE COURT: Thank you.
25	(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 37 of 10A-36 Bardsley - voir dire
1	the back of the courtroom.)
2	THE COURT: Any application?
3	MS. BYRD: No.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?
5	MR. PERUTO: (Shaking head no.)
6	THE COURT: All right. Next person on that row.
7	(Potential juror approaches side bar.)
8	THE JUROR: Good morning.
9	THE COURT: Good morning, sir. I'll have you
10	stand right there.
11	And we'll start by asking you to identify
12	yourself for our record, if you would, please.
13	THE JUROR: Henry Bardsley.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Bardsley, can you tell me about
15	the "yes" answer or answers you had for my questions?
16	THE JUROR: I was on two criminal cases, a
17	jury on two criminal cases. It was about 10 years ago or
18	20 years ago.
19	THE COURT: Were those cases here in Delaware?
20	THE JUROR: New Jersey.
21	THE COURT: Do you remember the nature of the
22	charges in those cases?
23	THE JUROR: One was a police officer who was
24	accused of arson. The other was a drug case.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And what was the outcome of

1 those cases, if you recall?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE JUROR: The drug case, we found insufficient evidence and we found him not guilty. And the arson case, I never found out the outcome.

THE COURT: Was there anything about your experience serving on those two juries that would prevent you from being fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve on this case?

THE JUROR: No.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Byrd?

MS. BYRD: I have nothing. Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

MR. PERUTO: No.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

THE JUROR: Thank you.

(Potential juror leaves side bar and returns to the back of the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Any application?

MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor.

MR. PERUTO: No.

THE COURT: Anybody else on that row?

All right. Let's move down to the first row on this side of the courtroom, closest to the aisle, and moving in. Anybody on that row with a "yes" to one or more of my questions? No?

1 Okay. Moving to the next row back. 2 Okay. Then I think that concludes the questioning. If you would give us just a moment, please, 3 4 ladies and gentlemen. Why don't we go ahead and I'll have the 5 courtroom deputy here give you the names of the folks that 6 7 didn't show up because we had some no shows. 8 On the individual as to whom I reserved, I will 9 strike. 10 THE DEPUTY CLERK: You will strike? 11 THE COURT: Strike her. And that should give us the final list. 12 13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Nine. `The absentees are No. 14 3, Barnes; No. 6, Chaivre; No. 8, Domian; No. 16, Lenhart; 15 No. 30, Robinson; No. 34, Shields. 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll go ahead 17 and draw the jury. (Conference at side bar ends. Proceedings 18 19 continue in open court.) 20 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Marilyn Monk, please come forward and take the first seat in the first row of the jury 21 22 box. Linda Pryslak, please take the second seat in 23 24 the first row of the jury box. 25 Mary Hurd, please come forward, take the third

1	seat in the front row.
2	MS. BYRD: What was the name?
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Mary Hurd.
. 4	Carla Probst, please come forward, take the
5	fourth seat in the front row.
6	Luladey Tadesse, please take the fifth seat.
7	David Sneeringer, please come forward, take the
8	sixth seat in the front row.
9	Pamela Smith. Ma'am, please take the seventh
10	seat in the first row.
11	James Logan, Jr. Sir, please take the first
12	seat in the second row.
.13	Kathy Phinney. Please come forward, take the
14	second seat in the second row.
15	Susan Scott. Please come forward, take the
16	third seat in the second row.
17	Paul VanHorn. Sir, please take the fourth seat
18	in the second row.
19	Henry Bartsly. Please take the fifth seat in
20	the second row.
21	Willard Franklin. Please take the sixth seat in
22	the second row.
23	Karen Wittland. Ma'am, please take the last
24	seat in the jury box, second row.
25	Patricia Poet. Ma'am, if you would take a seat

Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 41 of 108-40

you indicated to me that you were content and I told you I

25

either content or not content. Once you exercise restraint,

you are out of the game. I was advised by the deputy that

24

25

Ιf

Mr. Peruto was content and therefore the deputy had struck the last juror on his behalf. I therefore, based on that representation, said that I was content. That thereafter the game was still in play.

THE COURT: Yes, she has it right. To the extent there was any miscommunication, I mean you can't pass. You either exercise your strike or you don't. The act by the courtroom deputy of just marking out the person at the bottom of the list is nothing more than indicating those people at the bottom aren't going to be in the final, because it's the first 12 who remain on the list --

THE DEPUTY CLERK: 14.

THE COURT: -- plus the next two. Twelve plus

two. So when you said pass, that was in effect saying

content. What the courtroom deputy is saying they keep

track of the way the strikes have run. He was just going to

hit the bottom name because that name goes off anyway, not

that you were still in the mix, because once content, you're

content.

Now, in short, having declared yourself content, the list can't be reopened to strike. So this may not have been a model of clarity but if you need to make a record, I'll let you do that. But I can't let you have the last strike because the Government, rightly in reliance on your saying "pass," which was communicated to them as "content,"

do that the way they're been talking because that was listed

25

Case	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 46 of 10A-45
1	as his 11th strike which should have been down here.
- 2	THE COURT: Yes.
3	THE CASE MANAGER: What I'm saying, that juror
4	stays on.
5	THE COURT: Correct. That's the way it goes.
6	THE CASE MANAGER: Okay.
7	THE COURT: All right. Thanks.
8	(Conference at side bar ends. Proceedings
9	continue in open court.)
10	THE COURT: Okay. At this juncture, The
11	courtroom deputies will call some names and the names he
12	calls, I will ask you to go ahead and move back to the rear
13	of the courtroom; okay?
14	THE DEPUTY CLERK: When I call out your names,
15	will the following jurors take a seat in the back of the
16	courtroom?
17 .	Linda Pryslak.
18	Mary Hurd.
19	Luladey Tadesse.
20	Pamela Smith.
21	James Logan.
22	Kathy Phinney.
23	Willard Franklin.
24	Karen Willard.

THE JUROR: Wittland.

25

_	
1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: I'm sorry. There is a strike
2	through your name.
3	Patricia Poet.
4	Jorie Protas.
5	Maureen O'Neill.
6	Sheree Reibsome.
7	Cheryl Lanouette.
8	Kevin Hayden.
9	Susan Williams.
10	Margaret Patchell.
11	Lyndsay St. Ange. And,
12	Cynthia Marchioni.
13	Now, what I'm going to do is I'm going to move
14	the rest of you into the box.
15	Ma'am, if you would move to the second seat.
16	Sir, please take the third seat.
17	Ma'am, come forward and take the fourth seat.
18	Sir, the fifth seat.
19	Sixth seat, sir.
20	Ma'am, if you would come forward and take the
21	seventh seat, first row.
22	Ma'am, I'll ask you to come forward and sit in
23	the first seat in the second row.
24	And second seat in the second row.
25	Third seat in the second row. Watch your step.
Į.	

	N Company of the Comp
1	Sir, fourth seat in the second row.
2	Fifth seat, sixth seat and seventh seat.
3	Your Honor, the jury is impanelled.
4	THE COURT: Thank you.
- 5	Ladies and gentlemen, those of you who are still
6	seated in the rear of the courtroom, I hope you are not
7	unduly pleased or disappointed that you were not selected to
8	serve. Your presence here this morning has been essential
9	to our being able to seat a jury and I thank you very much
10	for your service. You are now excused.
11	We'll give a moment for the courtroom to clear
12	and then we will swear you folks who are in the jury box who
13	have been selected to serve.
14	Go ahead and swear the jury.
15	(Jury placed under oath at 11:11 a.m.)
16	THE COURT: Thank you.
17	Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask
18	you to indulge me for about five to ten minutes here. I
19	have some quick preliminary instructions. Then we'll give
20	you a chance to go get settled in the jury room for a minute
21	or two and contact people if you need to contact anyone to
22	let them know you have been selected to serve; okay?
23	Now that you have been sworn, I have the
24	following preliminary instructions for your guidance.
25	It will be your duty to find what the facts are

from the evidence as presented at the trial. You, and you alone, are the judges of the facts.

Let me hesitate to say here for a moment that the two folks on the back row furthest over to your left, you are alternate jurors. It's critical for you folks to be fully engaged, too, because we don't know, hopefully nothing happens to the first folks, but you have to be ready to move in at a moment's notice should we need your assistance in deliberations.

Now, I'm going to give you further instructions on the law at the close of the evidence. And I ask to you take the instructions I'm going to give you now and those and consider them together. You are going to need to take all instructions and apply them to the facts, as you find them. You must follow my instructions on the law whether you agree with them or not.

Nothing I may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate what your verdict should be. That is going to be for you alone.

The evidence from which you will find facts may consist of the testimony of witnesses and documents and other thing admitted into evidence. In addition, the evidence may include certain facts as agreed to by the parties or as I instruct you.

But certain things are not evidence.

Statements, arguments and questions by lawyers are not evidence.

Dejections to question are not evidence.

Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make an objection when they believe testimony or exhibits being offered into evidence are not admissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence. You should not be influenced by a lawyer's objection or by my ruling on the objection. If I sustain or uphold the objection, you should ignore the question or the document that is in question. If I overrule an objection and allow the matter into evidence, you should treat that testimony or document like any other evidence.

If I instruct you that some item of evidence is admitted for a limited purpose, you must follow that instruction and consider that evidence for that purpose only. If I do give such a limiting instruction during the trial, I will try to clarify the issue for you at that time.

I reemphasize, you should not consider testimony and documents that I determine must be excluded and not admitted into evidence.

Anything you see or hear outside the courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded. You are to decide this case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

There are two kinds of evidence: direct and

circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I will give you further instructions on these as well as other matters at the end of the case, but have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

It will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness's testimony to accept or reject. I will give you some guidelines for discussing the credibility of witnesses again at the end of the case.

This case involves allegations that the defendant, Mr. Lora-Pena, forcibly resisted arrest by members of the United States Marshal's Service, that he assaulted those officers, and that in the course of the assault, he turned an attack dog, a pit bull, on certain of the officers. These are allegations only at this point. Because this is a criminal case, it is the Government's obligation to prove the allegations to you. At the end of the case, before you begin your deliberations, I will give you a more detailed instruction on the burden of proof that the Government bears, but for now I want to simply note for you that the burden is upon the Government to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the criminal charges it has brought against

as 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 52 of 100-51

the defendant.

10.

Now, a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, I instruct you that during the trial and until you have heard all the evidence, you are not to discuss the case with anyone, not even among yourselves. If anyone should try to talk to you about the case, bring it to my attention promptly. There are important reasons for this, including the need for you to keep an open mind throughout the presentation of the evidence.

Second, do not read or listen to anything touching on this case that is not admitted into evidence. By that, I mean if there is a newspaper article or radio or television report relating to this case, do not read the article or watch or listen to the report. In addition, do not try to do any independent research or investigations on your own on matters relating to the case. Please don't go investigate on the Internet, for example. You are to decide this case upon the evidence that is presented here in this courtroom.

Again, do not reach any conclusion as to the claims, the charges until all of the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your deliberations at the end of the case.

If you wish to, you may take notes during the evidence, the summations of the attorneys at the conclusion

of the evidence, and during my instructions to you on the law. My courtroom deputy will arrange for pens, pencils and paper. If you do choose to take notes, take them with you when you leave the courtroom and please leave them in the jury room when you leave at night. And remember they are for your own personal use -- they are not to be given or read to anyone else.

As you see, we have a court reporter here who will be transcribing the testimony during the course of the trial. However, you should not assume that the transcripts are going to be available for your review during your deliberations. Nor should you consider your notes or the notes your fellow jurors take as a kind of written transcript. Instead, as you listen to the testimony, keep in mind that you will be relying primarily on your recollection of the testimony during your deliberations. Here are some other specific points to keep in mind about note taking:

First. Each of you can take notes but no one is required to take notes.

Second. Be brief. Do not try to summarize all the testimony. Notes are for the purpose of refreshing your memory. They're helpful when dealing with measurements, times, distances, identities, and relationships, perhaps.

Overindulgence in note taking may be distracting. You, the

jurors, must pass on the credibility of witnesses, so you must observe the demeanor and appearance of each person on the witness stand to assist you in passing on his or her credibility. Note taking must not distract you from that task. If you wish to make a note, you need not sacrifice the opportunity to make important observations. You may make your note after having made an observation itself.

In your deliberations, give no more and no less weight to the views of a fellow juror just because that juror did or did not take notes. Don't chose your notes, in other words, as authority to persuade fellow jurors. As I mentioned earlier, your notes are not official transcripts. They're not evidence. They are by no means a complete outline of the proceedings or a list of the highlights of the trial. They are valuable, if at all, only as a stimulant to your memory. Your memory is what you should be relying on when it comes time to deliberate and render your verdict in the case.

Finally, as I said before, at the end of the day, please leave your notes in the jury room. When the case is over, after you have used your notes in deliberations, a court officer will collect and destroy those notes to protect the secrecy of your deliberations.

Some quick statistical information.

First. If we need to come back tomorrow for the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taking of further evidence, we're going to move with as much dispatch as we can to try to get all the evidence in today. But if we have to come back into the courtroom, you might consider dressing in layers just in case it gets too hot or cold in here. It's tough for our landlord, the GSA, to keep a constant temperature, particularly at this time of year when the seasons are changing and the temperatures tend to fluctuate.

Second. Jury stickers. I note that you have Please keep them on and have them visible. don't have specialized access for you in and out of the building so you are going to be sharing elevators and other common areas with the representatives of the parties and others who have business in the court. And everybody wants to help you maintain the appropriate detachment that you have to have in order to avoid being influenced by things outside the courtroom. So by wearing your stickers, you help the parties and others who might be in the building to recognize the special role you have and to help you preserve that detachment. Please don't be offended if the attorneys or court personnel or others involved in the trial seem standoffish when you see them in the elevators or elsewhere in the building. Again, we're all not trying to be unfriendly but just are obligated to avoid contact with you outside the context of the presentations here in the

Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 56 of 10@-55

courtroom.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You will recall when you came into the building, you had to go through a security screening process. And if you had a cell phone, you had to leave that behind with a court security officer. As you know, screening like this can cause delays. So after we take a break or if we have to come back tomorrow, please plan your return to court in a manner that will allow you to be in the jury room before the time we are to begin taking evidence.

I should note that sometimes the other participants, including myself, may cause you to wait. will have done your part, gotten back in time, and you will end up waiting in the jury room. I promise you that all of us here are going to do our utmost to see that that doesn't happen or if it does, it's kept to an absolute minimum, but we may not be able to eliminate all delays. There are times during the course of a trial when matters arise which could not reasonably have been anticipated and which require the Court's attention. For example, the attorneys may have a legal issue which they need help resolving and in which it would be inappropriate to have you involved. If we have to deal with matters outside your presence, either having you wait in the jury room or occasionally having a whispered conversation over here at side bar, please understand that we don't mean you any disrespect by that delay. On the

contrary, your willingness to serve and the sacrifice of your time is deeply appreciated by all of us.

Here is how we'll handle the rest of the day.

We're going to take a few minutes now, no more than 10, to

give you a chance, as I said, to use the restroom if you

need to, make a phone call if you need to. Then we're going

to come back in here, shortly at 11:30, and we'll begin with

opening statements, if the parties wish to do that.

The opening statements are presented to you to give an overview of what evidence is expected to come in.

It's not argument. After the opening statements, we'll see how far we get with that. We're going to try to take a break at 12:30 for an hour for lunch. And when we come back, we'll either be finishing opening statements or we'll be already at the point where we can begin taking evidence.

When all the evidence is in, the attorneys are going to have a chance to make closing arguments to summarize and interpret the evidence for you. And after that, I'll give you the instructions on the law and you will retire to deliberate on the verdict.

All right. Let's go ahead and have the jury out.

(Jury left courtroom.)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Ms. Byrd, do you know how long you expect your

MS. BYRD: Your Honor, just as a matter of

efficiency, I provided to Mr. Peruto a copy of the

24

25

1 documentary exhibits the Government intend to offer into 2 evidence at this time. I also have copies for the Court and 3 for the Court Clerk just as a matter of efficiency again because obviously it's not the trial, but I wanted to give 4 these at this time. That way, we wouldn't have to do it 5 6 during the trial. 7 THE COURT: That's fine, if you want to hand 8 them up, but of course we'll make the record during the 9 course of the trial. 10 MS. BYRD: Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thanks. 12 Just by way of explanation real quick, 13 Mr. Peruto, I give you some latitude in the courtroom, but 14 not too close to the jury box. In other words, when you are 15 questioning witnesses, I prefer you to be at the lectern. 16 If you need to move over some and you want to move away from 17 the lectern, I ask that you not move past the Government's 18 counsel table. And if you want to approach the witness, 19 please just ask and I'll grant it freely. All right? 20 Let's go ahead and bring the jury in. 21 (Jury returned.) 22 THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. 23 Please be seated. 24

Ms. Byrd, your opening statement.

25

MS. BYRD: May it please the Court, counsel,

ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It's still good morning.

11.

Ladies and gentlemen, this case is about determination. It is about a man who was so determined to stay out of jail that he did everything in his power to forceable resist the federal law enforcement officers who came to arrest him. And that man, ladies and gentlemen, is the defendant, Nelson Lora-Pena.

The defendant is charged with four counts of one crime. That crime is assaulting or resisting a federal officer during the performance of that officer's official duties. There are four counts of that crime, one count for each of the federal officers: the United States Marshal for the District of Delaware and three Deputies U.S. Marshal who were resisted or assaulted by the defendant, Nelson Lora-Pena. And you will hear during the course of the day, ladies and gentlemen, that the defendant committed these crimes and then, after he was finally subdued, confessed to these crimes.

Now let's go back. Let's go back to earlier on this year, April 9th of 2005. You going to hear from the United States Marshal and the deputies who were present during the defendant's arrest on that date.

You are going to hear first from Deputy U.S.

Marshal William David. And he is going to tell you how on
that day, April 9th, members of the Marshal Services

Fugitive Task Force went to a residence, 4 Dunbar Road in Newark, Delaware in search of the defendant.

You are going to hear that those marshals had a federal arrest warrant, an arrest warrant for the defendant's arrest. And they went to that location on that date at around 2:30 or so in the afternoon to effect that arrest warrant, to place the defendant under arrest.

Now, Deputy David will tell you how he was the first person to go to that residence and he was doing some surveillance. And during his surveillance, he did indeed see the defendant coming out of the residence in the yard for a moment or so and going back in. And Deputy David will describe the residence to you. He will tell you it is a single family ranch-style house in a residential neighborhood.

Now, you are going to hear that after Deputy
David saw the defendant, the person for whom they had a
federal arrest warrant, coming in and out of this house,
other federal officers were notified and other officers
came to that location. And you are going to hear it wasn't
just the United States Marshal, it wasn't just Deputies
U.S. Marshal. There were also other state and local law
enforcement who came to the residence in Newark to assist
in apprehending the defendant.

Now, you are going to hear that at a certain

point, Deputy David, along with Deputy U.S. Marshal Jack
Leo, began to approach the front door. They began to
approach the front door just as other individuals, including
the United States Marshal for the District of Delaware,
David Thomas, and Deputy U.S. Marshal Robert Denney went
around to the back to provide coverage and make sure that
the defendant or anyone else did not escape through the
back.

Deputy David is going to tell you that as he and Deputy Leo approached the front door of the residence, they were able to see inside. They were able to see inside because the storm door was closed but it was a glass door. The interior door was open, enabling them to see straight into the house and into the hallway. And he is going to tell you what they saw.

He is going to tell you that as they approached, he saw the defendant coming out from the hallway into full view of the front door. And as soon as Deputy David saw the defendant, the defendant looked up and saw Deputy David.

And Deputy David identified himself as "police" and told the defendant to show him his hands.

Now, you are going to hear from all the individuals I just mentioned, the U.S. Marshal, David Thomas, Deputy David, Deputy Leo and Deputy Denney. And they will all tell you that on that date, because they were

They were blue. You will actually get to see one of those vests, ladies and gentlemen. Navy blue vests with the U.S. Marshal's insignia on one the top shoulder corners. Also the word "police" on the front, and then the words "police" and "marshals" on the back of that vest. They were all identified as such.

And when the defendant came to the hallway, you will hear Deputy David tell you that he instructed him, "police. Let me see your hands." And he will tell you that the defendant initially complied. He in fact did show his hands. He raised his hands up and Deputy David said "come here to the door."

The defendant, he will tell you, did initially comply with that and started to approach the door. But as he did so, ladies and gentlemen, Deputy David will tell you that something happened. And that something that happened was that two pit bulls joined the defendant at either side of him. And as he approached the door, they joined him and Deputy David will tell you that those pit bulls were going wild. They were barking. They were snapping. They were jumping up and they were lunging at the door.

. 9

Deputy David will tell you that he was alarmed, as will Deputy Leo, by these pit bulls. And so before being able to make an entrance and to arrest the defendant, Deputy David had to tell him, "control your dog." And he will tell you that he instructed the defendant to control your dogs.

But, ladies and gentlemen, as you will hear, that is not what the defendant did. Instead, ladies and gentlemen, you will hear the defendant did something quite different. While he did indeed approach the door, accompanied by his pit bulls, you will hear that the defendant, upon being told to control his dogs, did the following: He looked, made direct eye contact with Deputy David, looked down at the dogs, looked back up at Deputy David and then pushed the door open while he simultaneously began to run to the back.

And Deputy David will tell you that because of the defendant's actions, one of those pit bulls that was growling and snarling and jumping and lunging was able to get part of the way out of the door before the deputy was able to kick that door shut, at first actually ensnaring the pit bull in the door but finally able to kick the door shut. And as a result of the defendant's actions, Deputy David will tell you he was not able to initially get into the residence, and all the while you will hear the defendant, having pushed the door open for his dogs, ran to the back.

Now, what happened at the back, ladies and gentlemen? Well, again, as I said, you will hear this is a single family, one story ranch-style house. You are going to hear the defendant ran to the back door. There was a back sliding door that went off into the back fenced-in yard. And you are going to hear from Deputy U.S. Marshal Robert Denney and from the U.S. Marshal, himself that they were positioned in that backyard waiting for just this type of eventuality.

And, sure enough, you are going to hear that, sure enough, the defendant came charging out the door, opening the sliding door. And while he was charging out, he ran outside, got eight-to-ten feet outside before he was confronted by the U.S. Marshal and Deputy Denney, who again yelled "police, stop," who again were dressed in the same way, with the police gear, clearly marked as "U.S. Marshals."

And they will tell you the defendant didn't stop. He stopped initially, ladies and gentlemen, you will hear, looked -- it might be described to you by one of the marshals that he had sort of a "deer in the headlights" look when he saw there were two people in the back as well as two people in the front. He stopped, looked, didn't listen to the command to "stop, show your hands. Stop, police."

Instead, did exactly what he had done at the front door,

turned around and went back through the house. And you are going to hear during this time, ladies and gentlemen, that one of those pit bulls was still at the front door, preventing deputies from entering the front door.

You are going to hear that when the defendant fled back into his house, he left the sliding door open.

And so the U.S. Marshal and the deputy started to pursue him, only they were stopped. Why, ladies and gentlemen?

Because you will hear that that second pit bull was charging right at the door and what they had to do was close that glass door because of their fear for their safety by that pit bull.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, you might thing the story ends here, but it doesn't. Because you are going to hear the defendant kept on running. So he ran from one side of his house to the other side of his house, and this time attempted to go out of a back window into that same backyard. He tried to go out through a back window and in fact was initially successful in getting his upper body out of the window, only then, you will hear, ladies and gentlemen, to encounter yet another law enforcement officer who tried to obtain control of the defendant.

But the defendant, you will hear, didn't yield, didn't stop. Three times encountering law enforcement officers, three times hearing officers say, "stop, police."

Dogs running around the house. Defendant, you will hear, did not stop. He went back in the house again.

Then you will hear, ladies and gentlemen, that he figured that he would run back into that sliding door, back into that room to see whether he can get out that way. You will hear in fact that he did go back to the sliding door. Marshal and the deputy who were out there initially were still out there. They could see each other through the sliding door. And in fact, one of the deputies had a brief conversation with the defendant where he said "stop, police. We are here. Stop. Stop."

And the defendant, he did actually stop for a minute, you will hear, for a moment. He did stop for a moment. And then when the deputy opened the sliding glass door, thinking that he could now finally place the defendant under arrest, started to come into the kitchen, the defendant, you will hear, ran again.

Now, this time, ladies and gentlemen, the deputies were in the house. This time they were finally able to get in because you will hear the dogs were running around but they weren't right at the kitchen. And you are going to hear how the defendant ran through the house, back towards his back hallway. That he was being pursued by one of the deputies, by another law enforcement officer. That they were being pursued by the dogs the defendant had

initially tried to release through the front door.

You are going to hear that back in the hallway, although he was ordered multiple times to "stop, you are under arrest, police, police, police," he did not stop. In fact, you are going to hear from Deputy Denney that when Deputy Denney tried to grab control of the defendant, tried to put him up against a wall and thereby handcuff him, that the defendant continued to struggle.

And you are going to hear a lot about the struggle. You are going to hear the defendant pulled, he pushed, he scratched, he scraped, he twisted, he turned, he pulled his arms, he flailed his legs. You are going to hear that at times he was using various profanities but all along, you will hear one theme, ladies and gentlemen. The defendant just didn't give up. Because while Deputy Denney tried to subdue him, the defendant, you will hear, continued to struggle.

Now, that might have been the end of the story, ladies and gentlemen, but it isn't. And it's not end of the story because you are going to hear that while Deputy Denney finally was able to lay hands on the defendant and even though he was having a hard time, he may have been able to subdue him, the pit bulls ran at the officers. The defendant's pit bulls ran at Deputy Denney and one lunged at Deputy David.

You are going to hear how the one that lunged at Deputy David caused Deputy David to draw his gun and stand in a defensive posture while the dog was snapping and snarling and appeared that it was going to attack. You are going to here while Deputy Denney was struggling with the defendant, there was a pit bull at his leg and at one point appeared to grab hold of his pant cuff, causing Deputy David, fearing for his colleagues' safety, to draw on that pit bull.

And it was only then, ladies and gentlemen, after these dogs had chased the officers throughout the house, after one had cornered Deputy David, you will hear, after one had gone after Deputy Denney, you will hear, it's only then that these dogs turned on each other, turned on each other, began to fight each other and it was only after they began to fight each other and not chase the officers that these marshals were finally able to kick the dogs into a room and lock the door.

That might be the end of the story. No more dogs, no more delay, you might say. But it's not, ladies and gentlemen. Because as I said, there is one constant theme. And that is the defendant just would not submit. Because as two marshals were dealing with these two pit bulls that were worked up into a fury, so much so that they began to fight each other, the defendant was charging Deputy

U.S. Marshal Jack Leo, charging him down the hall, running right into Deputy Leo.

Now, Deputy Leo had something that other officers did not. All the officers were armed, you will hear. But Deputy Leo had a semiautomatic rifle. Deputy Leo had this rifle, and he will describe it as a powerful rifle, had it in his hand. Deputy Leo, he will tell you, had to maintain control of that rifle because his safety, the safety of the defendant, the safety of the other officers, the safety of, quite frankly, any passersby was of paramount importance to him. So when he was charged by the defendant, you will hear, he could only hold off the defendant with one hand because he had to have his other hand on that rifle.

And what did the defendant do? You are going to hear that he started in the next course of conduct where he wouldn't give up. He lunged at Deputy Leo. He charged him. He continued to drive Deputy Leo backwards and, all the while, tried to disarm the deputy by tugging, grasping and pulling at this rifle. And this struggle went on. You will hear the defendant tugged, that he pulled, that he scratched, that he dug in his nails on to Deputy Marshal Leo, all the time trying to take this rifle from the marshal.

And the marshal, he will tell you -- the deputy, he is going to tell you that he did what he had to do.

20.

First thing he did was he headbutted the defendant. He had no other weapon except for his one free arm and he headbutted the defendant. And you are going to hear about that. He head butted the defendant several times.

And what happened? The defendant didn't stop. He continued to grab at the gun. In fact, he grabbed and pushed so hard that the two of them went slamming into a wall, causing it to break open. And you are going to get to see a picture of that, how they slammed into the wall and you will get to see the wall as it looked after they slammed into it and you are going to hear that even after they slammed into the wall, the defendant continued to struggle with Deputy Leo.

And, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to tell you something right now. Deputy Leo is a big man. You are going to see him. He is going to come in here. He is a big man. He is tall and he is big. And you are going to look at him and you are going to look at the defendant and you are going to say "Huh. Deputy Leo is a lot bigger and taller than the defendant" and Deputy Leo is going to tell you how hard the defendant fought over and over for that gun. And after they bounced off that wall, ladies and gentlemen, you are going to hear they spilled out into the front hallway, the one that led to the front door.

The defendant continued to fight. Deputy Leo

stopped headbutting the defendant, that wasn't working, and began to punch the defendant in the face. And, you know, ladies and gentlemen, that is what he did. That is what the evidence is going to show. It's going to show that Deputy Leo began to punch the defendant with his one free hand, not using the butt of a gun, not using a bat, not using a billy club, not using anything other than his one fist that he could possibly use.

So headbutting didn't work to try to get this defendant subdued. But the defendant, even when punched in the face, wouldn't stop. And, in fact, not only would he not stop, he continued to struggle so hard for the gun, so hard for that semiautomatic rifle, he continued to dig his nails in and push and tug, that he discharged the gun. The rifle went off. The rifle went off because the defendant dug his nails in and discharged that gun.

And what happened to that bullet, ladies and gentlemen? What happened to the bullet? Well, you are going to hear. You are going to hear the bullet went out the front door, out the front. Fortunately, you are going to hear that it made an indentation in the ground in the front outside the front door. It didn't go further. You are going to actually see the hole in the door from both the inside and the outside. You are going to see the shell casing. Ladies and gentlemen, you are going to hear they

couldn't recover the bullet, they didn't find the bullet, but it went out the front door, out the front door because the defendant would not stop.

Now, this might be the end of the story but I isn't. Because even after you hear that the defendant discharged this gun, even after he was punched in the face by a man who was definitely bigger than he was, the defendant kept on going, you will hear. And you will hear that finally it took four law enforcement officers, holding an arm, holding a leg, holding another arm, trying to get the defendant subdued, that they were finally able to take him into custody.

And by that, ladies and gentlemen, I mean the defendant, you will hear, fought so hard that they had to put him not only in handcuffs but in leg irons because when they put him in handcuffs he continued to fight. And even once they put him in leg irons, you will hear that he continued to try to stand up.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, you have a question about how worked up the pit bulls were. You are going to hear and you are going to see for yourselves the results of their actions. You are going to hear that once the defendant was arrested, the pit bulls had to be taken care of. They were locked in this room and so the Animal Control Department was called out and the Animal Control Department

went in along with Deputy David, and they saw a sight -- a sight you are going to get to see. They saw a room covered with blood because that is what the pit bulls did to each other after they finally turned against themselves instead of the officers. You are going to see pictures of the pit bulls where they have blood all over them. The pit bulls were taken away.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, that is almost the end of the story, but you are going to hear just one more thing. And you are going to hear the defendant was injured. He was injured. He had a broken bone in his eye area, occular bone. And you are going to actually see a picture. You are going to see a picture. Deputy David is going to show you a picture, a picture of how he looked after he was injured during the struggle with Deputy Leo, when he discharged the firearm while trying to take the gun away. You will hear that is when the injuries occurred and you will see what he looked like.

And, ladies and gentlemen, I would suggest to you it might not be a pretty picture. You are going to hear he was taken to the hospital. And at the hospital, you are going to hear that Deputy David was there and the defendant began to speak to him. Deputy David told him "don't talk."

Don't talk." But the defendant kept going on and on and on. And you know what he said, ladies and gentlemen? You are

going to hear the defendant said, "you know, I've been on the run for 10 years. I had to give it a shot." You are going to hear him acknowledge what he did. "Been on the run for 10 years. I had to give it a shot."

You are going to hear that he asked Deputy
David, "when I saw you guys were the U.S. Marshals, I
wondered how did you find me here? Been here so long."
Told Deputy David, "you know, you guys were really lucky
because I was about to get a shower and drive up to New York
and you would never have found me because as soon as I heard
you were at my house I would never come back to Delaware."
And then he finally acknowledged his situation and said,
again, that he had to give it a shot. But after all, it was
destiny and he was looking forward to going back to Rhode
Island to face the charges that he has there.

Ladies and gentlemen, my name is April Byrd.

I'm an Assistant U.S. Attorney with the U.S. Attorney's

Office for the District of Delaware. Seated at the table

with me is Deputy U.S. Marshal William David, who represents

the United States of America in this matter and it's our

privilege to do so.

I ask you all for your patience. Thanks for your patience so far. I ask you to listen to the evidence as it comes in, to view the evidence as it comes in, to evaluate it critically in light of your judgment, your

reason, your common sense and the instructions Judge Jordan will give you at the end of this trial. And at the end, I will have an opportunity, as well as my colleague, William Peruto, to stand before you. And at that time, I'll have the opportunity to tell you why the evidence will have proven the defendant is indeed guilty, guilty as charged, of assault on federal officers.

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Peruto.

MR. PERUTO: Thanks.

Counsel. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

(The jurors respond, "Good morning.")

MR. PERUTO: All right. My name is Charles
Peruto, Jr. I represent Nelson Lora-Pena.

There is a couple things I'd like to advise you preliminarily. Evidence doesn't come from lawyers, it comes from the witness stand. You can either accept or reject that evidence. I thought I was going to talk for about 30 seconds to outline our case but because the prosecutor got so detailed, I feel responsible to answer some of the things on my opening so that you could follow the case.

An opening statement is just so you could follow what you are about to hear from the witness stand. If I say something that is inconsistent with what you believe came from the witness stand, then reject it. Lawyers are funny

.

people. We have to present the evidence in a light most favorable to our case. I obviously want to present this case in a light most favorable to Mr. Pena.

However, this case isn't about a story, a story where it begins and ends. It's about facts. This is the United States of America vs. Nelson Lora-Pena. Whenever a lawyer comes into federal courts, it's common sense. When you put a juror badge on, it doesn't relieve you of that common sense. You know, it's a big deal. It's not state court, it's not municipal court, it's not the district justice. It's federal court.

I don't have the tools available to me that the prosecution does. I can't run the Grand Jury system and present witnesses to that, subpoena witnesses to that Grand Jury and obtain evidence from them. They can do this.

And the only reason I point that out is because I want you to follow the evidence. The evidence will be of three different types: direct evidence, that is, what marshals and the defendant saw, heard and smelled; circumstantial evidence, other things that point to facts; and physical evidence.

Physical evidence that you may hear or may not hear. Physical evidence that I can't control because I wasn't at the crime scene. I wasn't there. I'm not going to tell you too much about what happened there. I'd rather

it unfold from the witness stand to make up your own minds. But I want you to look to see if the physical evidence in this case jives, comports with, corroborates with what the prosecutor just told you. I want you to see what damage or lack thereof these pit bulls inflicted to these U.S. Marshals, if there was any cuts, torn pants, anything of that nature.

And, of course, you have to understand that their witnesses are listening to me as well as the prosecutor give opening statements. And you could rest assured that when the United States of America brings a case in federal court, they're prepared. That these witnesses the Government will call are not going to be asked these questions for the first time on the witness stand. This case is prepared.

Now, the prosecutor told you that the defendant confessed to these crimes. The crimes that what you're deciding my client's fate on are assaulting federal officers and assaulting federal officers with weapons, that is, the pit bulls. He is not on trial for the fact that he ran from Rhode Island for 10 years. He is conceding that. He is conceding that. That is not an issue. He was supposed to report to his probation officer in Rhode Island 10 years ago and didn't. He ran. He had full knowledge of that and it's conceded.

He didn't confess to anything in this case. He did nothing but complain to a nurse and made certain statements to a nurse which were overheard by the marshals. And if they want to say that that is a confession, so be it. You determine, as jurors, what was said and the logic of it. It is our belief that the evidence will show what he said was "I tried to run out the backdoor. Of course, I gave it a shot. I was on the run for 10 years. But, boy, was I met with a big surprise." This is as his wounds are being treated, some serious wounds.

Now, lawyers are funny people. Sometimes when the evidence is that there is a telephone booth — an elephant in a telephone booth, you can't say "what elephant." You've got to face it. So when you have the burden of proof and you go first, what you want to do as a lawyer is present the evidence that hurts you the most in your case to make it look like we're presenting it. We have nothing to hide. We're going to show you this first because it's irrelevant. Because the prosecution knows that we're going to show that no one, no one got so much as a scratch to their clothing to their bodies or to their hands except that Nelson Lora-Pena had broken orbital sockets, a broken jaw, swelled eyes, head bleeding in five different places, both elbows damaged, both knees damaged, after being thrown into a wall, that busted the wall. And I hope the

9.

prosecution does show you all these things because then I won't have to. But the fact that the prosecution shows you doesn't mean it didn't occur or doesn't mean that you should overlook it.

This is a case where the U.S. Marshal's Office saw that he was in there, surrounded the house. The defendant has two pit bulls which all of his neighbors, any of his neighbors would show are the nicest dogs in the world. Everyone gets scared because we all think we're geniuses about what we know a pit bull is. Pit bulls, pit bulls, pit bulls, pit bulls, pit bulls. Hopefully, hopefully, some of you have experience with pit bulls.

Ladies and gentlemen, these dogs lived in the house with Nelson Lora-Pena and have done so for years. They are not trained attack dogs. They are pets. When the marshal came to the front door, we concede that the full view, full view glass was there. And the dogs are free to roam around the house. The dogs were somewhere there. The defendant can't honestly tell where the dogs were.

But when he saw the marshal, which was clear the way it said "police" or "marshal" or whatever it was on him that it was the marshals, as soon as he saw the marshal at the front door, he bolted for the backdoor. This is a guy that has been on the run for 10 years.

When he bolted for the backdoor, he saw, bam,

the house is surrounded there. He ran back into the house, and upon running back into the house, he was tackled from behind and can't honestly tell which marshal tackled him from behind because there were so many. You're now in close quarters. It's a small house, but the rooms are even smaller.

In these close quarters, the defendant doesn't know exactly who did what. He knows he was thrown by a very strong individual into the wall, busting the wall. He went down, started to crawl, literally started to crawl and was beaten severely for 60 seconds. Sixty seconds may not sound like a long time but the defendant is not going to exaggerate. Sixty seconds, when you are being punched with a closed fist on the ground, is an extremely long time when someone is that much bigger than you and when several people are that much bigger than you.

he will testify that he did hear the dogs barking. He heard them shrilling. He believes that the dogs were being kicked because the different types of noises they were making, noises that he is used to their making when they get hurt. The dogs always play with themselves, that is, wrestle with each other. And if one bites the other too hard, they'll yelp. He will testify that he heard that type of yelping consistently from the two dogs and was worried for the two dogs and was looking out for the dogs.

He saw for a split second one of them with a rifle. And at that point, he believed his dogs were going to be shot. But there was nothing he could do about it.

Nothing he could do about it. And then heard, a shot rang out. The defendant was absolutely powerless.

After this occurred -- and, by the way, some pictures, some of the marshals, somebody had a camera there. The defense didn't have a camera there. We can't control what pictures they took and what pictures they didn't take. But the prosecution has turned over some pictures. We think they may be interesting for you to view. So if the prosecution doesn't show them to you, I will. But they will show that this defendant is in handcuffs. His face is in an abomination. His face, not his body, not with all these marshals -- I don't know if they're going to testify they couldn't contain him or not but that is for your common sense.

He was very worried about his dogs. He loves his dogs. You are going to hear that Animal Control did come out, just like the prosecution said. And they had no trouble with these dogs whatsoever. They know how to deal with the dogs. You are going to see the slack in the pictures where the Animal Control people opened up the door. They are walking the dogs out and the dog is jumping right into the Animal Control vehicle. They weren't fighting with

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Deputy U.S. Marshal William David.

anybody. They weren't doing anything. They're not vicious attack pit bulls. Look at the circumstantial evidence and pay careful attention to the Animal Control people.

Look for the physical evidence to see if it corroborates what the prosecution contends. Keep an open mind throughout the case, and I ask just give him a fair trial. Keep an open mind until the end of the case, until all of the evidence is in, also keeping in mind that the defendant has no burden of proof whatsoever.

This is nothing to do with what he faces in Rhode Island at a later time. Regardless of what your verdict is, he has to go up there and face the music. is the United States of America vs. Nelson Lora-Pena, an assault case on marshals with count one being assault on marshals and the rest of the counts being assault on marshals with a deadly weapon, that is, the dogs.

This is a most serious case, a most serious case. Common sense tells you that. We just ask that you pay careful attention and decide it on the evidence and not on emotion. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and ask the Government to call their first witness, please.

MS. BYRD: Your Honor, the Government calls

Cas	1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 84 of 10 <sup>♠−83</sup> David – direct
1	Your Honor, the Government knows its witnesses
2	other than the case agent Deputy David have been sequestered
3	and have not been in the courtroom during any time during
4	this proceeding.
5	THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and swear
6	witness.
7	<del></del>
8	GOVERNMENT'S TESTIMONY
9	DEPUTY MARSHAL WILLIAM DAVID, having been placed
10	under oath at 12:19 p.m. as a witness, was
11	examined and testified as follows
12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13	THE COURT: You may proceed.
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. BYRD:
16	Q. Good afternoon, sir.
17	A. Good afternoon.
18	Q. Deputy, will you please tell us where you are
19	employed?
20	A. United States Marshal Service here in Wilmington,
21	Delaware.
22	Q. How long have you been so employed?
23	A. In Delaware?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. Approximately six years.

.

- 1 Q. Were you employed with the U.S. Marshal Service prior
- 2 to coming to Delaware?
- 3 A. Yes, two different locations.
- 4 Q. Where were they?
- 5 A. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Miami, Florida.
- 6 Q. And how long have you been with the Marshal Service
- 7 in total?
- 8 A. Approximately, ten years.
- 9 Q. Will you tell us please or tell the jury, please,
- 10 what your position is with the Marshal Service?
- 11 A. Currently in the District of Delaware, I'm the
- 12 Warrant/Task Force Coordinator of the Fugitive Task Force
- 13 | located here in Wilmington, Delaware.
- 14 Q. And how long have you held the position with the
- 15 | Fugitive Task Force here in Wilmington, Delaware?
- 16 A. Approximately, four years.
- 17 | Q. And during that tenure, if you would just briefly
- describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what your
- 19 duties are? What do you do?
- 20 A. We attempt to locate and apprehend wanted persons,
- 21 whether on the federal, state or local level.
- 22 Q. Does that mean, sir, that if you have a state arrest
- 23 warrant or a local arrest warrant, that might also come into
- 24 your purview during the course of your duties?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 | Q. As well as federal warrants?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Now, Deputy David, I'm going to direct your attention
- 4 | to April 9th of this year and ask you if you participated in
- 5 | the arrest of an individual named Nelson Lora-Pena on that
- 6 date?

- 7 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And is that individual in the courtroom today?
- 9 A. Yes, he is.
- 10 Q. Will you please identify him by stating where he is
- 11 seated in the courtroom and describe something he is
- 12 | wearing?
- 13 A. A male individual next to defense counsel, wearing a
- 14 white shirt.
- 15 Q. Now, Deputy David, did you have occasion on April 9th
- of 2005 to be in the area of 4 Dunbar Road in Newark,
- 17 | Delaware?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What type of neighborhood is that?
- 20 A. Residential, single family home neighborhood.
- 21 Q. And 4 Dunbar Road itself, what type of building is
- 22 there?
- 23 A. It is a single family ranch-style house with a
- 24 garage?
- 25 Q. And by "ranch-style," is that a one floor?

#### Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 5/42/1d Filedi0/26/\$1/2008 Page 87 of 100

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And is there any type of backyard to that residence?
- 3 A. Yes, there was. There was a fenced-in backyard.
- 4 | Q. Now, about what time did you arrive there?
- 5 A. Early afternoon, mid-afternoon, approximately
- 6 1:30-2:00 o'clock.
- 7 Q. And for whom were you looking?
- 8 A. Looking for the defendant.
- 9 Q. Did you have a federal arrest warrant for his arrest?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 | Q. Now, you said you got there about what time, sir?
- 12 A. About 1:30-2:00 o'clock.
- Q. After you got there at about 1:30-2:00 o'clock, did there come a point when you saw the defendant?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what was he doing?
- A. He exited the front door of the residence, walked around the front yard and went to the passenger side of his vehicle for a short period and then reentered the house.
- Q. Now, at some point -- well, actually let me ask you
- 21 this question: What did you do upon seeing the defendant at
- 22 that residence?
- A. I radioed additional members of the task force and advised them of what I had.
- 25 Q. And what do you mean what you had?

# Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 88 of 10A-87 David - direct

- 1 A. I had observed the subject exiting that particular
- 2 location.
- Q. I'm sorry. "Subject," that is cop speak. Who are we
- 4 | talking about here?
- 5 A. The defendant.
- 6 Q. All right. Some of us might not be in the law
- 7 | enforcement business.
- 8 A. I apologize.
- 9 Q. All right. Having notified other officers, are we
- 10 talking about federal marshals? Are we talking about other
- 11 | individuals?
- 12 A. Federal, state and local law enforcement officers.
- 13 Q. Did other individuals come to that residence in
- 14 response to your call?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Were there other -- let's start with employees of the
- 17 United States Marshal Service located here in Delaware. Did
- 18 other individuals come?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Tell me who -- or tell the jury please who came.
- 21 A. U.S. Marshal Dave Thomas, Supervisory Deputy United
- 22 States Marshal Robert Denney, Deputy United States Marshal
- 23 | Jack Leo, and then we had additional state and local law
- 24 enforcement officers.
- 25 Q. State and local, you said?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. All right. And starting with these other officers,
- 3 let's talk about the marshal and the other individuals from
- 4 | the U.S. Marshal Service. How were they positioned about
- 5 the residence?
- 6 A. Supervisory Deputy Marshal Robert Denney was in
- 7 | the back rear cover as well as U.S. Marshal Dave Thomas, and
- 8 he was also positioned rear cover. By "rear cover," I mean
- 9 | it's their objective to cover the back in the event someone
- 10 | tries to exit and flee out the back of the residence.
- 11 | Q. Now, if you would, Deputy David, you testified you
- 12 were there to essentially effect a federal arrest warrant?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Or you had a federal arrest warrant?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, describe, please, for the jury what you had on,
- 17 what you were wearing?
- 18 A. My attire for that day, I had blue jeans, a T-shirt,
- 19 | a utility belt with various equipment as well as a ballistic
- 20 vest with an outer carrier which depicts "police," with the
- 21 Marshal Service badge on the left shoulder.
- Q. Keep your voice up, if you would, there a little bit.
- All right. So on the left shoulder, there is
- 24 the U.S. Marshal badge?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. Of this vest?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What color is the vest?
- 4 A. Blue.
- 5 Q. All right. And is there anything written on the
- 6 front of the vest?
- 7 A. Yes. In white letters, it says "police" across the
- 8 front.
- 9 Q. Is there anything written on the back of the vest?
- 10 A. It says "Police, U.S. Marshal."
- 11 | Q. And you were wearing one of those?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What about U.S. Marshal Thomas? Was he wearing one
- 14 of those?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What about Deputy U.S. Marshal -- Supervisory Deputy
- 17 U.S. Marshal Robert Denney? Was he wearing one?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What about Deputy Jack Leo? Was he wearing one of
- 20 the vests as you described it?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 MS. BYRD: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 23 | THE COURT: You may freely approach.
- 24 BY MS. BYRD:
- 25 Q. Deputy David, I'm going to show you two items that

# Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 91 of 100 have been marked for identification. One has been marked for identification as Government's Exhibit 1 and one has

for identification as Government's Exhibit 1 and one has been marked for identification as Government's Exhibit 14.

All right? Take a look at those. Don't show them to anybody yet until we've talked about them. Let's start off with Government's Exhibit 1. Is that the federal arrest warrant that you had for the defendant's arrest on April 9th, 2005?

A. Yes, it is.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

MS. BYRD: At this time, Your Honor, the Government moves the admission of Government's 1.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

MR. PERUTO: No objection.

THE COURT: It's admitted without objection.

\* \* \* (Government's Exhibit No. 1 was received into evidence.)

17 BY MS. BYRD:

- Q. And that arrest warrant was issued by what court?
- A. The United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island.
  - Q. On what date?
  - A. April 4th, 1996.
- Q. Now, if you would please turn to Government's

  Exhibit 14. Don't hold it up, just look at it to yourself.
- 25 And will you identify that item? Again, do not hold it up.

# Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 92 of 10A-91 David - direct 1 A. This is an outer carrier that contains ballistic

- A. This is an outer carrier that contains ballistic panels that we wear when we effect an arrest warrant.
  - Q. Okay. Now, this may be just because I'm not technical, but you say "outer carrier." Is it a vest?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. And is there anything significant about the vest that you are looking at?
  - A. It has a United States Marshal badge sown on the top left corner. It has the word "police" in bold white lettering across the front.
  - Q. How does the vest that has been marked as

    Government's Exhibit 14 compare to the vest that you were

    wearing, the vest that your colleagues were wearing when you

    went to arrest the defendant?
- A. The same. It's the same.
  - MS. BYRD: Your Honor, at this time the Government moves the admission of Government's 14.

THE COURT: Mr. Peruto?

I'm sorry. You have to state it out loud enough for the --

MR. PERUTO: No objection.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. PERUTO: Just --

THE COURT: I have got you. It's admitted without objection.

#### Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 94 of 10**A**-93 1 all have been listening to the opening statements and the 2 start of the evidence but you need to direct any 3 conversation amongst yourself to other topics. 4 All right. We're in recess. 5 (Jury left courtroom.) THE COURT: Thanks. Please be seated. 6 7 Sir, you may step down, if you would like, 8 during the break. 9 Is there anything we need to take up during the 10 lunch break, Ms. Byrd? MS. BYRD: Actually, Your Honor, may I just have 11 12 a moment with Mr. Peruto? 13 THE COURT: Sure. 14 (Pause.) 15 MS. BYRD: Your Honor, I just asked Mr. Peruto whether he believes, since he thinks his client will be 16 17 testifying, if he thinks we'll finish in one day. He said 18 he believes so. That is the only thing I wanted to check 19 on. 20 THE COURT: Nothing else from the Government? 21 MS. BYRD: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Nothing from you, Mr. Peruto? 23 MR. PERUTO: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Thank you, on that last objection, 25 for rising and speaking. I appreciate that. And we'll go

Q.

- 1 | fenced-in area you described earlier?
  - A. Initially, I'm not sure.
- 3 Q. Now, deputy, if you will indulge me for a moment, I'm
- 4 going to show you what has been marked for identification as
- 5 Government's Exhibit 1A. I'm going to ask you to --
- 6 MS. BYRD: May I stand here for a moment, Your
- 7 Honor?

- 8 THE COURT: You may.
- 9 BY MS. BYRD:
- 10 Q. I'm going to ask you, deputy, to take a look at it
- 11 and tell me, first of all, what it is that we're looking at.
- 12 | Is it a diagram in the picture? What is it?
- 13 A. It's a diagram.
- 14 Q. Is this a diagram you prepared?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And by "prepared," I mean did you actually draw the
- diagram and someone else did the computer imaging?
- 18 A. I drew a rough draft and it was transposed into what
- 19 you see here.
- 20 Q. And it is a diagram of what, sir?
- 21 A. To the best of my recollection, the house at 4
- 22 Dunbar.
- Q. And the exterior of the house or the interior of that
- 24 house?
- 25 A. The interior.

#### Cast 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 97 of 100-96 1 Does it depict various rooms in that house as you Q. 2 recall them? 3 A. Yes. 4 Was it drawn to scale, sir? Q. 5 Α. No. 6 MS. BYRD: At this time, Your Honor, the 7 Government moves the admission of Government's Exhibit 1A. 8 MR. PERUTO: No objection. . 9 THE COURT: Admitted without objection. 10 (Government's Exhibit No. 1A was received into 11 evidence.) 12 THE COURT: You can position that in a way that 13 will allow to you display it to the jury. 14 MS. BYRD: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 Actually, Your Honor, for ease of reference for 16 some of this, if I may ask the deputy to come down? I will 17 resume the podium but just so he can access and the jury can 18 see. 19 THE COURT: That's fine. 20 MS. BYRD: When I'm referring to Government's 21 Exhibit 1A, deputy, I would just ask you step down with 22 reference to your testimony. 23 Ms. Lotharp. 24 May we dim the lights, Your Honor, for better 25 viewing?

observed as you approached the front door?

### Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 99 of 100-98

- A. As I walked up to the front door, I could clearly see
- 2 inside. The interior door was open. The storm door was
- 3 closed but it's made of glass. I could observe inside. And
- 4 as I approached, I saw the defendant exit out of the hallway
- 5 directly in front of me.
- 6 Q. All right. What we're going to do, deputy, I'm going
- 7 | to give you this pointer. Let's try this out, first. Let's
- 8 try, so that everyone can see all at once, if you could
- 9 point out with that laser where the front door is that you
- 10 were approaching when you saw the defendant. There is a
- 11 | little button. You just hold it in.
- 12 A. That's the front door.
- 13 | Q. All right. And you said that you saw, as you were
- 14 approaching the front door, the defendant come from out of a
- 15 | hallway. What hallway are you referring to?
- 16 A. This hallway. The defendant came in this direction,
- 17 when I first saw him right here.
- 18 Q. And there are two hallways that are depicted on
- 19 | Government's Exhibit 1A; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. For lack of a better reference, one is kind of in the
- 22 rear of the house and one is in the front of the house?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. And the hallway that you just denoted on the exhibit
- 25 was the rear hallway?

## Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 54-2 Filed 02/13/2008 Page 100 of 100-99 David - direct

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And show us what you saw or how you saw the defendant walking. What direction?
- 4 A. The defendant came from this direction and stopped bere.
- Q. All right. At the time that you saw the defendant stop, what happened?
  - A. He looked out the front door. I said "police, let me see your hands." The reason I indicated "let me see your hands" is the defendant had a towel in his left hand.
- 11 Q. Now, you said "police, let me see your hands." Were
  12 you in a nice conversational voice?
- 13 A. I gave him a command of "let me see your hands."
- 14 Q. All right. And how firmly did you make that command,
  15 sir?
- 16 A. Very.

8

9

- 17 | Q. And what tone of voice did you use?
- 18 A. Loud, deep.
- 19 Q. All right. What was the defendant's response?
- A. The defendant took both his hands, palms outward and hands up, did not release the towel. But I could see that he had no weapon in his hand.
- Q. After the defendant complied -- he complied initially, would that be fair to say?
- 25 A. Yes.